

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,732	03/08/2002	Hiroshi Kajiyama	3620-4014 5009	
27123 75	90 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101		BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·		•	1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/018,732	KAJIYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2006.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9-11</u> is/are pending in the app	alication .				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	Claim(s) <u>5-7 and 9-11</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	r(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/018,732

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment submitted on November 9, 2006, has been entered. Claims 1-4, 8, and 12-77 have been cancelled. Claims 5, 6, 9, and 10 have been amended. Therefore, the pending claims are 5-7 and 9-11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 5 7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287753 A in view of Kolstad et al. (6,114,495) for the reasons of record.
- 4. Claims 9 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287753 A and Kolstad et al. as applied to claim 5 above, and in further view of Matsui et al. (6,174,602) for the reasons of record.
- 5. Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287735A and Kolstad et al. as applied to claim 5 above, and in further view of Matsui et al. and Wellington Sears Handbook of Industrial Textiles (pages 57 60) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed March 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the fact that Kolstad et al. does not teach adding any inert content to the polylactic acid resin is not sufficient to teach the claimed less than 3% of inert content (response, pages 4-5). Since Kolstad et al. does not teach adding it, then the amount of inert content in the resin composition is 0% which would be less than 3%, as claimed by the

Application/Control Number: 10/018,732 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

applicant. Thus, Kolstad et al. teaches the claimed feature. Instead the applicant argues that these material could or could not be present in the polymer composition and Kolstad et al. does not address the component and therefore does not teach the claimed improvements which are a result of controlling the inert properties.

However, it has been held that as long as there is evidence of record establishing inherency, failure of those skilled in the art to contemporaneously recognize an inherent property, function or ingredient of a prior art reference does not preclude a finding of anticipation. Atlas Powder Co. v. IRECO, Inc., 190 F.3d 1342, 1349, 51 USPO2d 1943, 1948 (Fed. Cir. 1999). Further, it is noted that when the PTO shows a sound basis for believing that the products of the applicant and the prior art are the same, the applicant has the burden of showing that they are not. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). Thus, the burden has shifted to the Applicant to provide evidence that the properties are not inherent in the prior art materials. In re Best, 562 F.2d at 1255, 195 USPQ at 433. Arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence. In re De Blauwe, 736 F.2d 699, 705, 222 USPQ 191, 196 (Fed. Cir. 1984). In other words, the prior art is not required to recognize all properties which are present in the product or process. Therefore, the fact that Kolstad et al. does not teach improved properties which are a natural result of the composition taught, is not sufficient to overcome the rejection. The applicant must provide evidence that the claimed inert contents would be present, outside of the claimed range, in the polymer composition and filaments taught by Kolstad et al. even though Kolstad et al. does not teach adding it to overcome the reference. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/018,732

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ilb

November 22, 2006

JENNA BEFUMO

Janu-Trypbl-